1	Martin A. Muckleroy		
2	MUCKLEROY LUNT, LLC 6077 S. Fort Apache Rd., Ste 140 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Telephone: 702-907-0097		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: 702-938-4065 Email: martin@muckleroylunt.com		
6	James M. Wilson, Jr. (pro hac vice)		
7	Email: jwilson@faruqilaw.com		
8	Robert W. Killorin (pro hac vice) Email: rkillorin@faruqilaw.com FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 685 Third Avenue, 26th Floor		
9			
10	New York, NY 10017		
11	Telephone: 212-983-9330 Facsimile: 212-983-9331		
12	Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Tiffany Huynh, as executor for the estate of Kevin Nguyen		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14			
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
16		Case No. 2:17-cv-01868-RFB-NJK	
17	In re TAHOE RESOURCES, INC. SECURITIES	DECLARATION NOTIFYING THE	
1 /			
18	LITIGATION	COURT OF WITHDRAWAL OF ORIECTION	
		COURT OF WITHDRAWAL OF OBJECTION	
18			
18 19	LITIGATION		
18 19 20	LITIGATION	OBJECTION	
18 19 20 21	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f	OBJECTION	
18 19 20 21 22	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f	OBJECTION  ollows:  ved by FedEx the letter objection from Mr.	
18 19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f  1. On January 12, 2024, I recei	ollows:  ved by FedEx the letter objection from Mr.  Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f  On January 12, 2024, I recei  William Schnitt to certain terms contained in the	ollows:  ved by FedEx the letter objection from Mr.  Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of es District Court for the District of Nevada	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f  1. On January 12, 2024, I recei  William Schnitt to certain terms contained in the  Class Action Lawsuit Pending in the United State	ollows:  ved by FedEx the letter objection from Mr.  Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of es District Court for the District of Nevada	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	This Document Relates to: All Actions  I, James M. Wilson, Jr., declare as f  1. On January 12, 2024, I recei  William Schnitt to certain terms contained in the  Class Action Lawsuit Pending in the United State  ("Notice") for this Action. A true and correct cop	ollows:  ved by FedEx the letter objection from Mr.  Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of es District Court for the District of Nevada	

- 2. As indicated in Mr. Schnitt's letter, Mr. Schnitt also sent this same letter objection to counsel for Defendants in this Action, Karl Barnickol at Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg ("Defense Counsel") and to the Clerk of the Court. ECF No. 264.
- 3. In the letter objection, Mr. Schnitt expressed his concern about whether he could submit a claim for potential recovery from the U.S. Settlement for Tahoe Resources, Inc. ("Tahoe") shares that he received when his shares in a company called Lake Shore Gold were exchanged through a stock-for-stock merger with Tahoe in April 2016. *Id.* at 1-2.
- 4. In particular, Mr. Schnitt observed that the U.S. Settlement Class appears to include former Lake Shore Gold investors based on the following statement on page 5 of the Notice:

How do I now if I am a part of the Settlement? Subject to certain exceptions identified below, everyone who fits this description is a U.S. Settlement Class Member: all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Tahoe's common stock in the United States or on the NYSE at artificially inflated prices between April 3, 2013 and August 24, 2017, inclusive, and who suffered damages thereby (*Exh. #4*).

*Id.* at 2.

- 5. As expressed in his letter objection, Mr. Schnitt felt that certain language in the "Instructions" section of the Notice could be read to preclude him (and other former Lake Shore Gold shareholders who received Tahoe shares in the 2016 stock-for-stock merger) from submitting the designated claim form to report the fair market value of Lake Shore Gold stock tendered in the merger to the claims administrator for processing pursuant to the plan of allocation adopted for all other Tahoe shareholders in the U.S. Settlement. *Id.* at 2-3.
- 6. Mr. Schnitt's letter objection contained no other concerns or objections to the U.S. Settlement.
- 7. On the same day we received Mr. Schnitt's letter, I discussed Mr. Schnitt's letter objection with defense counsel and members of my firm. We all agreed that the correct interpretation of the Notice was that which Mr. Schnitt suggested, to wit: that the U.S. Settlement by its terms includes former Lake Shore Gold shareholders who acquired Tahoe shares through

the 2016 merger and that these shareholders may submit a claim for potential recovery from the U.S. Settlement based on the fair market value of Lake Shore Gold shares at the closing of the merger with Tahoe on April 1, 2016. We also confirmed this understanding with Epiq, the claims administrator for the U.S. Settlement, who agreed that Mr. Schnitt and other former Lake Shore Gold shareholders may use the claim form distributed to other Tahoe shareholders to submit their claims based on the fair market value of their Lake Shore Gold stock at the closing of the merger with Tahoe on April 1, 2016.

- 8. On January 22, 2024, I communicated with Mr. Schnitt via email to confirm that he was correct that he and other former Lake Shore Gold shareholders who received their Tahoe shares through the 2016 stock-for-stock merger are included in the U.S. Settlement and may submit a claim using the claim form being distributed to all other Tahoe shareholders in the U.S. Settlement. I also confirmed that the claim for consideration is based on the calculation of the "acquisition price" of Lake Shore Gold using a fair market value approach to the Lake Shore shares tendered to Tahoe in the stock-for-stock merger. Under this method, Lake Shore Gold shares would be valued based on the closing stock price of Tahoe shares on April 1, 2016, the day the merger closed, which was \$10.03.
- 9. Mr. Schnitt confirmed via email that same day that he agrees with this approach and also agreed that the concerns expressed in his letter objection had been resolved.
- 10. On January 26, 2024, I communicated with Mr. Schnitt about informing the Court that his concerns had been addressed by filing a document entitled Notice of Withdrawal of Objection ("Notice of Withdrawal"). I also provided Mr. Schnitt with the Notice of Withdrawal for his review and requested that if he agreed with the contents of the Notice, that he sign and return the Notice, and that my firm would file the Notice with the Court. Mr. Schnitt signed the Notice on the same day and returned the signed document via email. The Notice of Withdrawal is attached hereto at Exhibit B.

Dated: February 1, 2024	Respectfully submitted

/s/ James M. Wilson, Jr.
James M. Wilson, Jr.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that on February 1, 2024, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to counsel of record. I also caused the foregoing to be served on Interested Party William Schnitt via First Class U.S. Mail at 2844 N. 82nd St., Scottsdale, AZ 85257.

By: /s/ James M. Wilson, Jr.
James M. Wilson, Jr.